

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/633,200 08/07/2000		08/07/2000	Michael C. Kiefer	4147-7-1DIV4	3491	
22442	7590	04/09/2003				
SHERIDA	AN ROSS I	PC	EXAMINER			
1560 BRO			UNGAR, SUSAN NMN			
SUITE 120	-	•				
DENVER,	CO 80202	4		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1642	1 /	
				DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application No. Application No. Application No.

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Kiefer et al

Art Unit

caminer .

Ungar

1642

		_					
The MAIL	ING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sh	eet with t	he correspondence address			
Period for Reply	TATUTORY REPION EOR REPLY IS SET T	O EXPIRE	three	MONTH(S) FROM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
- If the period for reply sp - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within th - Any reply received by the	munication. recified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and he set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	application to beco	me ABANDO	NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) X Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 13, 20</u>			·			
2a) X This action	is FINAL. 2b) This action	on is non-final	•				
3) Since this a closed in a	application is in condition for allowance ex ccordance with the practice under <i>Ex part</i>	ccept for form e <i>Quayle</i> , 19	nal matte 35 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Clain							
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>32</u>	2-38 and 59-65			is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the al	bove, claim(s) <i>33-38, 64, and 65</i>			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	2 and 59-63						
8) Claims		are	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers							
• •	ication is objected to by the Examiner.						
	ng(s) filed onis/are	a) 🗌 accepte	ed or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant	may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(s) be he	eld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The propos	sed drawing correction filed on	is	::a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	ed, corrected drawings are required in reply to						
	or declaration is objected to by the Examir						
Priority under 35 L	J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 🗌 All b) 🗌	Some* c)□ None of:						
1. 🗌 Certi	fied copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	ed.				
	fied copies of the priority documents have						
	es of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures ched detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).				
	dgement is made of a claim for domestic						
	islation of the foreign language provisiona						
	dgement is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of Reference	ces Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PT	0-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftspe	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclo	sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Page 2

Serial No: 09/633,200

Art Unit: 1642

1. Upon review and reconsideration, the previous Final Office Action is withdrawn.

- 2. The Amendment filed June 13, 2002 (Paper No. 10) in response to the Office Action of February 3, 2002 (Paper No. 6) is acknowledged and has been entered. Previously pending claim 32 has been amended. Claims 32 and 59-63 are currently being examined.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. The Submission of November 25, 2002 (Paper No. 12) is acknowledged and has been entered. In the submission, Applicant states that the references cited in the original 1449 are submitted. However, no references are found in the file. Therefore, Examiner is unable to consider the references or to include a coy of the signed 1449 with this communication.
- 4. The Submission of February 25, 2003 (Paper No. 13) is acknowledged and has been entered. Applicant's disclosure of the telephone interview and subsequent voice mail messages is correct.
- 5. The following rejections are maintained:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claim 60 remains rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph for the reasons previously set forth in Paper No. 6, Section 5, page 3.

Applicant argues that the rejection is believed moot pending the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 32 and 59-63 under 35 USC 102(e).

Serial No: 09/633,200 Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

The argument has been considered but has not been found persuasive because the rejection of claims 32 and 59-63 under 35 USC 102(e) has not been withdrawn for the reasons set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. Claims 32, 59 and 61-63 remain rejected under 35 USC 102(e), for the reasons previously set forth in Paper No. 6, Section 7, pages 3-4.

Applicant argues that US Patent No. 5,672,686 does not appear to be effective as prior art since the present application has a priority date for SEQ ID Nos 7, 9, 21 and positions 60-211 have a priority date of October 7, 1994 and US Patent No. 5,672,686 was filed on October 11, 1994 which is four days after the instant priority date. Further, US Patent No. 5,672,686 is a continuation-in-part of US Application No. 08/287,427, filed August 9, 1994 and Examiner has not provided any discussion regarding the disclosure of the '686 priority document.

The argument has been considered but has not been found persuasive because it is assumed that, unless otherwise stated, that priority date for the referenced patent is the earliest listed priority date, that is, the priority date of the parent application. Applicant has clearly not provided evidence that the priority of the cited reference is not August 9, 1994, the filing date of the abandoned parent application. It is noted, for Applicant's information, that US Application No. 08/287,427 specifically claims an isolated Bcl-Y protein (claim 1) as well as both monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies to said protein in claims 21-23. The claims are properly rejected under 35 USC 102(e). Applicant's arguments have not been found persuasive and the rejection is maintained.

Serial No: 09/633,200

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant further argues that the present application further claims priority to US Application Serial No. 08/160,067 filed November 30, 1993 for limitations drawn to Cdn-1. The argument has been considered but has not been found persuasive because claims drawn to antibody to Cdn-1 are not rejected under 35 USC 102(e). Applicant's arguments have not been found persuasive and the rejection is maintained.

Applicant further argues that the present application further claims priority to US Application Serial No. 08/160,067 filed November 30, 1993 for limitations drawn to Cdn-1 and that antibodies to Cdn-1 should also be equated with antibodies that bind to any of SEQ ID Nos 9, 21 since these sequences are highly similar to SEQ ID NO:7 and since antibodies to SEQ ID NO:7 were found to be free of the art due to the priority date of the 08/160,067 filed November 30, 1993 then 08/160,067 logically provides a disclosure sufficient to retain the benefit of priority for the claimed invention and the '686 patent is not effective prior art against the claimed invention.

The argument has been considered but has not been found persuasive because neither SEQ ID NO:9 nor SEQ ID NO:21 were described or contemplated in the 08/160,067 application and there is no support therein for claiming antibodies to SEQ ID NO:9 or SEQ ID NO:21. The instant application is only entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the parent application if they both contain common subject matter. Clearly, the parent application and the instant application do not contain common subject matter drawn to SEQ ID NO:9 and SEQ ID NO:21. The claims are properly rejected under 35 USC 102(e) for the reasons of record.

Serial No: 09/633,200

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant's arguments have not been found persuasive and the rejection is maintained.

- 8. All other objections and rejections recited in Paper No. 6 are withdrawn.
- 9. No claims allowed.
- 10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Ungar, PhD whose telephone number is (703) 305-2181. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached at (703) 308-3995. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 308-4242.

Serial No: 09/633,200 Page 6

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Effective, February 7, 1998, the Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1642.

Susan Ungar

Primary Patent Examiner

March 26, 2003